

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,769	02/03/2000	Tomotaka Yamazaki	SONYJP3.0-098	6673
530	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
	Z & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	D, NJ 07090		2623	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A 11 41 B1	1 4 11 11	<del></del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/496,769	YAMAZAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Reuben M. Brown	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this $\propto$ D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	Jarch 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	nending in the application	•					
	Claim(s) <u>1,6-11,16-21,26-31 and 36-46</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 6-11, 16-21, 26-31 &amp; 36-46</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, closed roquirements						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,	•				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 45-46 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: 'the provider device assigning a MAC address to the receiving device'... 'validating the unique key ID with the first client device; and if the unique key is validated' transferring the software application to the receiver device; retrieving the MAC address from the unique key ID; and transferring the MAC address to the receiver device for use as a new address of the receiver device' is directed to divergent subject matter.

Independent claims 1, 11, 21 & 31, merely recite 'unique terminal identification information being selected in a manner unrelated to the authentication data', which is more broad than 'assigning the MAC address', recited in the newly added claims 45 & 46. Also, the additionally recited, 'validating, transferring, retrieving and transferring steps', are directed to a specific software installation algorithm, which is beyond the scope of the claims as previously presented.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution

Art Unit: 2623

on the merits. Accordingly, claims 45-46 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6-9, 16-19, 26-29 & 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, for depending upon a canceled claim.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2623

6. Claims 1, 6-11, 16-21, 26-31 & 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman, (U.S. Pat # 5,862,220), in view of Slivka, (U.S. Pat # 6,049,671).

Considering amended claim 1, the instant claim recites a method of transmitting data from a transmission apparatus to one of a plurality of receiving terminals, comprising

'communicating between the one receiving terminal and the transmission apparatus via an Internet system, such that that the receiving system is operable to receive a digital broadcast signal', which is met by Perlman, Fig. 4; col. 3, lines 10-60.

'receiving authentication data associated with the one receiving terminal, authenticating the authentication data', reads on the disclosure in Perlman, which uses a WebTV telephone number with an ANI, in order to determine if the client is listed on the server, for authentication purposes; see col. 4, lines 50-67 thru col. 5, lines 1-30.

'transmitting unique terminal information identifying the one receiving terminal as a destination and an update program to change the processing of the one receiving terminal', Perlman teaches that the client network address and encryption information is transmitted to the client, col. 9, lines 20-25; col. 10, lines 35-40; col. 14, lines 5-20. The claimed 'unique terminal identification information', reads on the client network address stored on the private server 820 and provided back to the client 610, col. 8, lines 35-44.

Regarding the claimed 'update program to change the processing of the one receiving terminal', Perlman generally discusses that the client device 610 makes requests of the private server 820, but does not explicitly teach requesting an update. Nevertheless Slivka, which is in the same field of endeavor teaches a subscriber requesting software updates from a server, and that the server transmits the requested software update to the client, using a well known encryption algorithm, see col. 7, lines 35-67; col. 9, lines 20-40 & col. 17, lines 10-25. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Perlman to provide software updates to the client, at least for the desirable advantage of ensuring optimum performance of the client system, as taught by Slivka, Abstract; 1, lines 20-65 & col. 2, lines 15-67.

'unique terminal identification information being selected in a manner unrelated to the authentication data, and the transmitting step including converting the unique terminal information into converted unique terminal information comprising a key ID and transmitting the converted unique terminal information to the one receiving terminal' also reads on the disclosure in Perlman that in at least one embodiment the client device 610 is authenticated using its POTS number, col. 8, lines 30-67. As for the 'key ID', Perlman furthermore teaches that the encryption key is specific to the particular client, col. 8, lines 39-47.

As for the amended claimed feature of 'returning the converted unique terminal information comprising a key ID to the unique terminal information and storing the unique terminal information in a storage location after the returning step', the claimed subject matter

reads on the operation of Perlman of receiving and storing the encryption information in memory 844 of the client device, Fig. 8; col. 6, lines 35-55.

Page 6

Regarding the additional claimed feature of 'transmitting from the one receiving terminal to the transmission apparatus a transfer request based on the update program and the unique terminal information, and supplying the data responsive to the request', Perlman teaches that once the encryption information is received, it is used for communication between the client device 610 and the server, col. 8, lines 40-48; col. 11, lines 60-63.

Considering claims 6, 16, 26 & 36, Slivka uses a satellite transmission system; see col. 5, lines 40-46 & col. 10, lines 48-52.

Considering claims 7, 17, 27 & 37, both Perlman & Slivka are directed to Internet communication.

Considering claims 8, 18, 28 & 38, the claimed terrestrial circuit reads on the cable TV system of Perlman.

Considering claims 9, 19, 29 & 39, the claimed subject matter is met by any software upgrade that includes visual interface for the user, see Slivka, col. 7, lines 39-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 10, 20, 30 & 40, the claimed subject matter reads on the client network address, used in Perlman, col. 7, lines 35-50; col. 8, lines 41-47; col. 9, lines 35-52 & col. 10, lines 41-67; col. 12, lines 1-22.

Considering claims 11, 21 & 31, the claimed system, receiving system and method of receiving data comprises elements that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, and is likewise treated.

Considering claims 41-44, the claimed unique terminal identification comprising a MAC address of the receiving terminal reads on the client network address, as disclosed by Perlman.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769 Page 8

Art Unit: 2623

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A) Chiu Teaches encrypting communications, suing the MAC address of a receiver terminal, col. 13, lines 55-65.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,769 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After

Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER